rings of CE1S, $\mathrm{PGE}_{1}$ (Spek, 1977), $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ (DeTitta et al., 1980), and $\mathrm{PGF}_{2 \beta}$ (DeTitta et al., 1980) adopt $C(12)$ envelope conformations. The cyclopentyl ring of $\mathrm{PGF}_{2 I}$ (Chidester \& Duchamp, 1974) adopts a C(9) envelope conformation. The two independent conformers of $\mathrm{PGF}_{2 \alpha}$ (Langs et al., 1977) adopt envelope conformations, one a $C(8)$ envelope and the other a $C(9)$ envelope. The cyclopentyl ring of $\mathrm{PGF}_{1 \beta}$ (Abrahamsson, 1963) adopts a half-chair conformation. The cyclopentenyl rings of $\mathrm{PGB}_{1}$ (DeTitta et al., 1979) and of $\mathrm{PGA}_{1}$ in both the monoclinic (DeTitta et al., 1979) and orthorhombic (Edmonds \& Duax, 1975) forms adopt relatively flat conformations.

In summary, the structural features of CE1S show both similarities and differences to those of previously reported prostaglandin structures. The relevance of solid-state, three-dimensional structural features to the solution properties of conformationally labile molecules, such as the prostaglandins, has been excessively discounted in the past. However, studies by Anderson and coworkers (Leovey \& Anderson, 1975) suggest that the general structural features of $\mathrm{PGF}_{2 \alpha}$ in the crystalline state are maintained in solution. Circular dichroism spectra of aqueous $\mathrm{PGF}_{2 \alpha}$ are consistent with the 'hairpin' conformation for the chromophore defined by the $C(5)$ through $C(16)$ portion of the molecule. Lanthanide-induced shift NMR data are inconsistent with either an 'L-shape' or an extended conformation for the molecule. Also, the conformational specificities of the $\mathrm{PGF}_{2 \alpha}$ and $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ receptors have been recently discussed in terms of the 'hairpin' alignment of the molecules (Anderson et al., 1981).

These data suggest that the structural features revealed by single-crystal diffraction are maintained by prostaglandin molecules in solution and are thus relevant to the solution properties. Until evidence to the contrary arises, we must likewise assume the conformation of CE1S determined by this study is descriptive of its favored conformation in solution.
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# Structure of endo-3-Phenyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-phosphabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-6-ene 3-Oxide, $\mathbf{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathbf{O P}$ 
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#### Abstract

M_{r}=218 \cdot 2\), orthorhombic, space group Pbca, $a=9.122$ (4), $b=12.960$ (3), $c=19.446$ (2) $\AA$ A, $U=2298.9 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=8, \quad D_{x}=1.261 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, $\lambda(\operatorname{MoK} \alpha)=0.71073 \AA, \quad \mu=0.202 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=$ 928 . Final $R=0.035$ for 880 observed reflections. The phosphorinane ring adopts a chair conformation which is flattened at the phosphorus end. The ring conformation, bond lengths and valence and torsion angles are compared with those in the exo-3-phenyl isomer.


* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Introduction. In a previous study, the synthesis of both the title compound (I) and its exo-3-phenyl isomer (II) were described (Haque, Horne, Cremer \& Most, 1981). The isomer assignments were made on the basis of NMR studies and confirmed by a crystal-structure analysis of the exo-3-phenyl compound. The purpose of

(I)

(II)
the present study was to perform a crystal-structure analysis of the endo-3-phenyl compound, obtain torsion angles, establish the total geometry of the molecule, especially the degree of puckering in the six-membered ring, and to make a comparison with the exo-isomer.

Experimental. Crystal ca $0.38 \times 0.45 \times 0.90 \mathrm{~mm}$ enclosed in a Lindemann-glass capillary due to hygroscopic nature of the compound, Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, take-off angle $5^{\circ}$, Mo $K \alpha$ radiation filtered by a square-graphite-crystal monochromator, cell dimensions and their standard deviations derived by least-squares analysis of 25 accurately centered reflections; intensities of 2376 reflections (to $\theta<25^{\circ}$ ) measured by $\omega-2 \theta$ scans and background counts taken on each side of the peak, intensities of three standard reflections measured every 9000 s showed no significant change during data collection, crystal orientation monitored every 50 reflections, 880 reflections considered observed with $I>3.0 \sigma(I)$, Lorentz and polarization corrections applied; form factors for non- H atoms from Cromer \& Mann (1968) and for H atoms from Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965), anomalous terms for phosphorus included; all calculations carried out on the University of Petroleum and Minerals IBM 3033 computer, structure solved by direct methods with SHELX 76 (Sheldrick, 1976); isotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement and one cycle of anisotropic refinement reduced $R$ to 0.079 and a difference map at this stage revealed all H atoms; further refinement of non-H atoms anisotropically and H atoms isotropically reduced $R$ to a final value of 0.035 , weighting scheme based on counting statistics used, $w=1.41\left(\sigma^{2}\left|F_{o}\right|+\right.$ $\left.0.000323 F_{o}^{2}\right)^{-1}, \sum w \Delta^{2}$ minimized, $R_{w}=0.034$; a final difference map showed no significant features.

Discussion. Fig. 1 is an ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1976) showing the molecule in perspective and Fig. 2 shows the packing arrangement in the crystal. Atomic positions are listed in Table 1,* and the bond lengths and angles involving non -H atoms are listed in Table 2. All intermolecular contacts are of the normal van der Waals type.

In the following discussion, the salient features of the endo-phenyl isomer (I) characterized in the present report will be compared with those of the exo-phenyl isomer (II) which formed the subject of a previous study (Haque et al., 1981). In both isomers, the six-membered ring exists in a chair conformation, considerably flattened at the phosphorus end and with

[^0]

Fig. 1. Thermal ellipsoids at $30 \%$ probability and the atomic notation for endo-3-phenyl-3 $\lambda^{5}$-phosphabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-6-ene 3 -oxide.


Fig. 2. The molecular packing arrangement.
Table 1. Fractional coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}, \times 10^{3}$ for H ) and isotropic temperature factors $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$

| $U_{\mathrm{ea}}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{i}^{*} \mathrm{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }} / U_{\text {iso }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| C(1) | 970 (5) | 1354 (3) | 3468 (2) | 59 |
| C(2) | 2339 (5) | 1760 (4) | 3093 (2) | 58 |
| P(3) | 3964 (1) | 1854 (1) | 3626 (1) | 56 |
| C(4) | 3314 (6) | 1869 (3) | 4511 (3) | 71 |
| C(5) | 1756 (5) | 1457 (3) | 4617 (2) | 65 |
| C(6) | 1664 (5) | 359 (4) | 4387 (3) | 67 |
| C(7) | 1220 (4) | 296 (4) | 3756 (3) | 62 |
| C(8) | 704 (4) | 1968 (4) | 4113 (2) | 66 |
| C(9) | 5047 (4) | 697 (3) | 3517 (2) | 46 |
| C(10) | 4688 (5) | -127 (3) | 3105 (2) | 56 |
| C(11) | 5639 (5) | -944 (4) | 3009 (3) | 67 |
| C(12) | 6977 (6) | -946 (4) | 3339 (3) | 71 |
| C(13) | 7350 (5) | -134 (5) | 3747 (2) | 71 |
| C(14) | 6420 (5) | 692 (4) | 3836 (2) | 65 |
| O(15) | 4863 (3) | 2776 (2) | 3466 (2) | 93 |
| H(11) | 20 (4) | 139 (2) | 318 (2) | 48 (11) |
| H(21) | 253 (4) | 136 (3) | 269 (2) | 55 (11) |
| $\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 217 (3) | 249 (3) | 296 (2) | 55 (11) |
| H(41) | 413 (5) | 150 (3) | 475 (2) | 84 (15) |
| H(42) | 341 (4) | 256 (3) | 460 (2) | 77 (14) |
| H(51) | 152 (4) | 154 (2) | 508 (2) | 53 (11) |
| H(61) | 195 (4) | -17 (3) | 466 (2) | 71 (14) |
| H(71) | 118 (4) | -33 (3) | 354 (2) | 56 (11) |
| H(81) | 89 (4) | 270 (3) | 409 (2) | 52 (11) |
| H(82) | -28 (4) | 190 (3) | 429 (2) | 79 (13) |
| H(101) | 379 (4) | -13 (3) | 289 (2) | 55 (12) |
| H(111) | 535 (4) | -152 (3) | 269 (2) | 85 (15) |
| H(121) | 756 (5) | -152 (3) | 325 (2) | 103 (18) |
| H(131) | 829 (5) | -9 (3) | 400 (2) | 86 (15) |
| H(141) | 664 (4) | 121 (3) | 414 (2) | 67 (14) |

Table 2. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ involving non-H atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.538(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.523(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.499(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.295(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.506(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.374(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $1.812(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.398(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.822(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.381(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.808(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.379(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $1.482(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.361(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.532(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.377(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.494(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $111.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $100.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $110.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $111.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $101.3(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $110.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $114.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $101.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $105.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $125.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $108.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $116.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $107.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $118.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $112.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $111.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $119.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $110.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $119.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $115.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $121.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $110.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $119.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $110.2(4)$ |  |  |

$C(1), C(5), C(4)$, and $C(2)$ effectively coplanar. In the exo-phenyl isomer the projection of the plane of the phenyl ring essentially bisects the $C(2)-P(3)-C(4)$ bond angle, whereas the considerable steric crowding in the endo-isomer causes the phenyl group to assume an orientation which results in the projection of its plane falling roughly parallel with the $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond vector. As a consequence of this, non-bonded intramolecular interaction occurs between the endo-hydrogen bonded to $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and the ortho-hydrogen bonded to $\mathrm{C}(10)$, these H atoms being only 2.28 (5) $\AA$ apart.

Whilst the bond lengths and bond angles in the exo and endo-isomers show a close similarity, there are some interesting and significant differences in the torsion and flap angles.* Thus, the magnitude of the angle between the planes $C(2)-P(3)-C(4)$ and $C(1)-C(2)-C(4)-C(5)$ decreases from $31^{\circ}$ in the exo-isomer to $17.9(4)^{\circ}$ in the endo-isomer, presumably due to the distorting forces induced by the intramolecular interaction between $\mathrm{H}(101)$ and $\mathrm{H}(21)$ mentioned in the previous paragraph. To our knowledge no other phosphorinane ring structure so far studied has exhibited such a degree of flattening at the phosphorus end. In addition, the [3.2.1] ring system which in the exo-isomer approximates closely to a mirror plane, shows less evidence of such symmetry in the endo-isomer, due also to this intramolecular interaction.

We gratefully thank UPM, Dhahran, for a grant, CY/CRYSTR/52 and Professor S.E. Cremer for providing crystals.

* See previous footnote.
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# $\boldsymbol{N}, \mathbf{N}^{\prime}$-Diphenylhexanediamide (DPHD),* $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 
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Abstract. $M_{r}=296.4$, orthorhombic, Pbca, $a=$ 15.228 (4), $\quad b=11.265$ (3), $\quad c=9.489$ (3) $\AA, \quad V=$ $1627.8 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=1.21 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=$ $1.5418 \AA, \mu=0.60 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=632$. Final $R=$

[^1]$4.2 \%$ for 686 observed reflexions. Molecules are connected by hydrogen bonds to four other molecules. The hydrogen-bonding scheme found is compared with that of related diamides. Two different types of hydrogen bonding are found. From the available data it is concluded that torsion angles can be influenced by hydrogen bonding.


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters; torsion angles, and bond lengths and angles involving H atoms have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 38241 ( 9 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH 1 2HU, England.

[^1]:    * The Structure of Model Compounds of Aromatic and Aromatic-Aliphatic Polyamides. IV. Part III: Harkema, van Hummel \& Gaymans (1980).

